Correlation Between Intra-Abdominal Free Fluid and Solid Organ Injury in Blunt Abdominal Trauma
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Background: In previous studies, the diagnostic value of Focused Assessment with Sonography for Trauma (FAST) has been evaluated but few studies have been performed on the relationship between the amount of free intra-abdominal fluid and organ injury in blunt abdominal trauma. To select patients with a higher probability of intra-abdominal injuries, several scoring systems have been proposed based on the results of FAST.

Objectives: The aim of this study was to determine the prognostic value of FAST according to the Huang scoring system and to propose a cut-off point for predicting the presence of intra-abdominal injuries on the Computed Tomography (CT) scan. The correlation between age and Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) and the presence of intra-abdominal injuries on the CT scan was also assessed.

Patients and Methods: This study was performed on 200 patients with severe blunt abdominal trauma who had stable vital signs. For all patients, FAST-ultrasound was performed by a radiologist and the free fluid score in the abdomen was calculated according to the Huang score. Immediately, an intravenous contrast-enhanced abdominal CT scan was performed in all patients and abdominal solid organ injuries were assessed. Results were analyzed using Kruskal-Wallis test, Mann-Whitney test and ROC curves. The correlation between age and GCS and the presence of intra-abdominal injuries on CT-scan was also evaluated.

Results: The mean age of the patients was 29.6 ± 18.3 years and FAST was positive in 67% of the subjects. A significant correlation was seen between the FAST score and the presence of organ injury on CT scan (P < 0.001). Considering the cut-off point of 3 for the free fluid score, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value were calculated to be 0.83, 0.98, 0.93, and 0.95, respectively. Age and GCS showed no significant correlation with intra-abdominal injuries.

Conclusions: It seems that FAST examination for intra-abdominal fluid in blunt trauma patients can predict intra-abdominal injuries with very high sensitivity and specificity. Using the scoring system can more accurately determine the probability of the presence of abdominal injuries with a cut-off point of three.
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and can show more details and occult injuries (6, 7). Although often used in equipped trauma centers, the radiation exposure and higher costs limit the routine use of this sensitive diagnostic tool (8-10).

2. Objectives

To select patients with a higher probability of intra-abdominal injuries requiring laparotomy, several scoring systems have been proposed based on the results of FAST and other clinical data. The aim of this study was to determine the prognostic value of FAST according to the Huang scoring system as well as to propose a cut-off point for predicting the presence of intra-abdominal injuries.

3. Patients and Methods

This cross-sectional study was performed on 200 hemodynamically stable patients with severe blunt trauma referred to the emergency department of Shahid Beheshti hospital in Kashan, Iran. Severe trauma was assumed if the mechanism of trauma was high energy (falls more than 3 meters, motor vehicle accident with a speed more than 50 Km/h, crush injuries, rollover and pedestrian accidents) or if severe injuries such as vertebral or pelvic fractures were detected. Hemodynamically unstable patients (systolic blood pressure < 90 mm Hg), penetrating trauma patients, pregnant women and those with underlying diseases associated with intra-abdominal fluid (cirrhosis, congestive heart failure) were excluded from the study. The study population was calculated to be 197 patients according to ultrasound sensitivity of 85% for detecting free intra-abdominal fluid, accuracy of 95% and d of 0.05. All patients were evaluated by FAST in the supine position with the Medison ultrasound (V20) curvilinear 3.5 - 5 μHZ transducer. For measurement of the intra-abdominal fluid the Huang classification was used. One score was given for each intra-abdominal region (Douglas pouch, hepatorenal recess, perisplenic and paracoloc gutters) if free intra-abdominal fluid was present. If free fluid more than 2 mm was seen in the hepatorenal recess and Douglas pouch or floating bowel loops were seen, two points were given. So, a maximum score of 8 could be reached (11), Table 1. After performing ultrasound, abdominopelvic CT scan (Toshiba-Astion) was performed for all patients from the diaphragm dome to pubis symphysis with intravenous contrast (Visipaque 270 mg vial, 1 cc/Kg). The CT scan was reported by a second radiologist who was blinded to the results of the ultrasound. Results were analyzed using logistic regression, Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney tests. For obtaining the cut-off point, ROC curves were used. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

4. Results

Two-hundred blunt abdominal trauma patients were included in the study. The mean age of the patients was 29.6 ± 18.3 years (median = 18.3) and 133 patients (66.5%) were male. Mean GCS at arrival in the emergency room was 12.9 ± 3.4 (median = 15). The trauma mechanism was motor vehicle accident in 71% of the cases. Also, FAST was positive in 67% of subjects (Table 2). The results of the intra-abdominal fluid score of the patients is shown in Figure 1. Comparing the mean scores from FAST and the CT scan results showed that there was a significant correlation between the presence of organ injury and the FAST scores (P < 0.001). To determine an appropriate cut-off point to predict the probability of intra-abdominal injury, the ROC curve was used (Figure 2). Considering the cut-off point of 3 for the free fluid score, sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value were calculated to be 0.83, 0.98, 0.93, and 0.95, respectively (Table 3). Age and GCS score showed no significant correlation with the presence of intra-abdominal injury on CT scan with p-values of 0.27 and 0.68, respectively.

### Table 1. The Huang Classification for Intra-Abdominal Free Fluid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anatomic Area</th>
<th>Amount of Fluid</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Morrison’s pouch</td>
<td>≤ 2 mm</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 2 mm</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas pouch</td>
<td>≤ 2 mm</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 2 mm</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasplenic space</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paracolic gutter</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floating intestinal loops</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Baseline Characteristics of the Study Population a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>29.6 ± 18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS b</td>
<td>12.9 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, male</td>
<td>66.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma mechanism</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor vehicle accident</td>
<td>142 (71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (falls, hits)</td>
<td>58 (29)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Values are presented as mean ± SD, or % or No. (%).
b GCS, Glasgow coma scale.
5. Discussion

The sensitivity and specificity of FAST in our study were 83% and 98%, respectively with a positive predictive value of 93% and a negative predictive value of 95%. In the study performed by Lingawi and Buckley (12) on 1090 patients, the sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values for FAST were reported 94%, 98%, 78% and 100%, respectively. Similar results were reported by Foo et al. (13) showing sensitivity and specificity of 86% and 92%, respectively and positive and negative predictive values of 89%. Also, McKenney et al. (14) showed a sensitivity of 83% and specificity of 87% for the intra-abdominal fluid score to predict solid organ injuries. Similar results are reported in several studies (15-17). On the other hand, there are also some studies depicting a lower sensitivity for FAST. Natarajan et al. (18) could only reveal a sensitivity of 41% in a retrospective study of 2980 trauma patients; the specificity was reported to be 99%. Moreover, other studies showed lower sensitivities of 54% and 50% with specificities of 90% and 97.3% (19, 20). In one study performed in children, a sensitivity of 55% and a specificity of 83% were observed. Ten out of 107 patients had a solid organ injury despite a normal FAST examination. The authors concluded that FAST is not sensitive enough in children to predict intra-abdominal injuries (21). The results of our study revealed very good sensitivity, specificity and positive and negative predictive values. Differences in sensitivity seen in the several studies may be attributable to different patient populations and the skill and precision of the sonographist. In children additional factors may influence the results, such as poorer cooperation or anatomical differences.

To predict the probability of emergency abdominal surgery in trauma patients, several scoring systems exist. Huang et al. (11) used a scoring system based on the presence of fluid in different areas and its amount as previously mentioned. In their study, 96% of patients with a score of three or more required laparotomy (11). A similar score for the amount of the intra-abdominal fluid was proposed by McKenney et al. (14) in 2001, showing a sensitivity of 83% for the "hemoperitoneum score" in determining the need for a therapeutic laparotomy. In their study, ultrasound revealed an obvious better sensitivity in contrast to systolic blood pressure or base deficit (sensitivity values of 28% and 49%, respectively) to predict the need for laparotomy. Eighty-seven percent of trauma patients with a score of three or more underwent laparotomy, but only 15% of those had a score of less than three (14). Also, Moylan et al. (22) showed that a positive FAST can predict the probability of emergency surgery very well; 37% of hemodynamically stable trauma patients with a positive FAST examination underwent laparotomy in contrast to only 0.5% in those with a normal ultrasound. This author did not use any scoring system. In our study, similar to the above-mentioned studies, the amount of free fluid correlated with the presence of intra-abdominal injuries.

### Table 3. Sensitivity and Specificity of Different Points of the Huang Classification; Point Three Was Considered as the Most Suitable Cut-Off Point

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Huang Score</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and a cut-off point of three was obtained, depicting that a score of 3 or more is associated with a high probability of intra-abdominal injuries. Other clinical data are also used in other scoring systems to predict the probability of organ injury or need for laparotomy. McKenney et al. (14) compared the sensitivity of ultrasound to predict intra-abdominal injuries with systemic blood pressure and base deficit. The sensitivity of ultrasound in determining the need for operative intervention was 83%, remarkably higher than blood pressure (28%) and base deficit (49%) (14). Manka et al. (23) used a scoring system based on the amount of intra-abdominal fluid, blood pressure and pulse rate, revealing a sensitivity of 98.2% and a specificity of 70%. Musiitwa et al. (24) used the SSORTT score (sonographic scoring for operating room triage) based on FAST, systemic blood pressure and pulse rate and showed that patients with a SSORTT score of 2 and above had a high likelihood of requiring a therapeutic laparotomy. We could not see any correlation between level of consciousness (according to GCS) and the presence of intra-abdominal injuries. Considering the results of the mentioned studies ultrasound seems to be much more sensitive in predicting intra-abdominal injuries than any other clinical or para-clinical parameters.

In conclusion, FAST examination for intra-abdominal fluid in blunt trauma patients can predict intra-abdominal injuries with a very high sensitivity and specificity. Using the Huang scoring system can more accurately determine the probability of the presence of abdominal injuries with a cut-off point of three. Age and GCS have not been predictive of intra-abdominal injuries, but further studies in the future should focus on combining other clinical or para-clinical factors with FAST to design a more sensitive scoring system to predict intra-abdominal injuries and need for laparotomy.
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